PATENT COOPERATION TREAT

PCT

1	Y REQ'D	27	SEP	2005
	WIFE)		act .

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference ACH 3019 WO	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416						
International application No. PCT/EP2004/011457	International filing date 12.10.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15.10.2003						
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and II	PC							
C10G11/04, C10G11/05, C10G									
Appliance									
Applicant ALBEMARLE NETHERLANDS	B.V.								
ALDERNATEE NETTERIANDO D. V.									
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 									
2. This REPORT consists of a to	. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.								
3. This report is also accompan	ed by ANNEXES, comprisir	ıg:	i						
a. \square sent to the applicant a	nd to the International Bure	au) a total of sheets	, as follows:						
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).									
		hich this Authority co	nsiders contain an amendment that goes						
beyond the disclo	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.								
b. (sent to the Internation	nal Bureau only) a total of (ir	ndicate type and num	ber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a						
sequence listing and/o Box Relating to Seque	r tables related thereto, in c ence Listing (see Section 80	omputer readable for 2 of the Administrativ	m only, as indicated in the Supplemental re Instructions).						
	5 (,						
4. This report contains indication	ns relating to the following it	ems:							
☐ Box No. I Basis of the	e opinion								
☐ Box No. II Priority	- - - - - - - - - - -								
	shment of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventiv	ve step and industrial applicability						
l	y of invention	-	,						
☐ Box No. V Reasoned applicability									
☐ Box No. VI Certain doc									
☐ Box No. VII Certain def	ects in the international app	ication							
🛭 Box No. VIII Certain obs	ervations on the internation	al application							
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of	this report						
			,						
06.05.2005		27.09.2005							
Name and mailing address of the intern	ational	Authorized Officer							
preliminary examining authority:		, lationzed Officer	State of Patentany, etc.						
European Patent Office - NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pa		Zuurdeeg, B	in the state of th						
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 T Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016		.							
1 20. 751 70 340 - 3010		Telephone No. +31 70	∪ 34U						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/011457

	Вох	No. I	Basis of the report				****	
1.	With filed	n regard I, unless	regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		which i ☐ inte ☐ pub	is the language of a t rnational search (und Dication of the interna	slations from the orig ranslation furnished f der Rules 12.3 and 23 tional application (un examination (under f	or the purposes 3.1(b)) der Rule 12.4)	of:	nguage ,	
2.	hav	e been	furnished to the rece	the international app iving Office in-respon to not annexed to this	se to an invitatio	ort is based on <i>(re</i> on under Article 14	eplacement sheets If are referred to in	which this
	Des	cription	, Pages					
	1-10)		as originally filed				
	Clai	ims, Nur	mbers	-				
	1-9			as originally filed				
		a sequ	ience listing and/or ar	ny related table(s) - so	ee Supplementa	l Box Relating to	Sequence Listing	
3.			nendments have rest description, pages	ulted in the cancellation	on of:			
		☐ the	claims, Nos.					
		☐ the	drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (sp	ecify):				
		⊔ any	/ table(s) related to se	equence listing <i>(spec</i>	<i>ну)</i> :			
4.	□ had Sup	l not be	eport has been establ en made, since they ntal Box (Rule 70.2(c)	ished as if (some of) have been considere)).	the amendment d to go beyond t	s annexed to this the disclosure as f	report and listed be iled, as indicated in	elow 1 the
			description, pages claims, Nos.					
		☐ the	drawings, sheets/figs					
			sequence listing (sp table(s) related to se	<i>ecny):</i> equence listing <i>(spec</i>	ify):			
	*	Tf it	em 4 applies. s	ome or all of th	ese sheets i	mav be marked	"superseded."	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/011457

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-9

No:

: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-9 1-9

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following document:

D1: WO-A-0112570

- 2. The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-9 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT in view of document D1.
- 2.1 The subject-matter of independent claim 1 is novel, since the combination of features is not disclosed in any of the available prior art documents.
 - Therefore, claims 1-9 fulfill the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.2 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses crystalline anionic clay containing bodies from which the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that the composition comprises FCC catalyst particles and additive particles, wherein the additive particle comprises Y-type zeolite.

There is currently no evidence on file to support any unexpected effects or properties in relation to the above identified difference, since in example 2 REY exchanged with 12 wt% rare earth is used (instead of Y-type zeolite). The nature of the comparison with the closest prior art should have been such that the effect is convincingly shown to have its origin in the **distinguishing feature of the invention**.

Alleged but unsupported advantages cannot be taken into consideration in respect of the determination of the problem underlying the application.

Besides, in claim 1 the amount of Y-type zeolite is not defined; the amount ranges from practically 0 wt% to almost 100 wt% of the additive composition. No unexpected effect has been shown over the whole breadth of scope.

In view of the above, the problem underlying the invention (see page 1, lines 28-29 of

the current specification) has to be reformulated and may be regarded as to provide a further composition.

D1 discloses that the crystalline anionic clay containing bodies may contain molecular sieve material, e.g. zeolite Y, ZSM-5 etcetera; the multifunctional bodies can be used as a catalyst additive (see page 10, lines 20-29).

The suitability of anionic clay containing shaped bodies for the **removal of** SOx-and/or **NOx compounds** in FCC may be improved by addition of **Ce** and/or V (see page 10, lines 11-13). In several examples of D1, the bodies were tested as an FCC additive.

Therefore, it is not possible to recognise an inventive step for claims 1 and 9 and the subject-matter of claims 1 and 9 does not fulfill the requirements Article 33(3) PCT.

2.3 The process for preparing a composition as is defined in independent claim 6 is obvious in light of D1 and the problem underlying said claim.

Document D1 discloses (see page 10, lines 20-26) that the anionic clay bodies can be combined with conventional catalyst components such as alumina, silica-alumina and zeolites (e.g. Y and ZSM-5). Additives, e.g. Ce, La and Cu, can be deposited on the shaped bodies before, during or after aging (page 9, line 24-page 10, line 13). The conventional catalyst components can be added prior to the shaping step, e.g. spray drying (see page 8, lines 4-13; page 10, lines 25-26).

The subject-matter of claim 6 does therefore not involve an inventive step as required by Article 33(3) PCT.

2.4 The subject-matter of dependent claims 2-5, 7 and 8 do not contain subject-matter which could contribute to an inventive step being recognisable over the prior art cited, since the specification does not provide any unexpected effects or advantages associated with any of the differentiating features of the claims.

The subject-matter of dependent claims 2-5, 7 and 8 therefore lacks an inventive step

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/011457

(Article 33(3) PCT).

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- 8.1 The term "solid solution" used in claim 1 is vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claim unclear, Article 6 PCT.
 - For the establishment of this opinion, the definition as given on page 3, lines 9-17, i.e. a disordered MgO-like product distinguishable from spinel and anionic clays, has been used to interpret the claim.
- 8.2 The feature of claim 2, that a REY zeolite is comprised in an amount of about 2-10 wt%, is not referred to in the description. Claim 2 is therefore not supported by the description as required by Article 6 PCT.